arXiv:1401.7828v1 [cs.IT] 30 Jan 2014

Codes over a subset of Octonion Integers

Cristina Flaut

Abstract. In this paper we define codes over some Octonion integers. We prove that in some conditions these codes can correct up to two errors for a transmitted vector and the code rate of the codes is grater than the code rate of the codes defined on some subset of Quaternion integers.

Keywords. Block codes, Cyclic codes, Integer codes, Mannheim distance.
AMS Classification. 94B15, 94B05.

0. Preliminaries

Coding Theory is a mathematical domain with many applications in Information Theory. Various type of codes with their parameters were intensively studied. As one of the important parameters of a code, the distance associated (as Hamming, Lee, Mannheim, etc.) was also studied for many types of codes, finding values for the minimum or maximum values for such a distances (see [Ne, In,Fa, El, Pa; 01], [Sa, Na, Re, Sa; 13]).
One of the type of codes with a great development in the last years are Integer Codes. Integer codes are codes defined over finite rings of integers modulo $m, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and have some advantages over the traditional block codes. One of them is that integer codes are capable to correct limited number of error patterns which occur most frequently while the conventional codes intend to correct all possible error patterns. Integer codes have low encoding and decoding complexity and are suitable for application in real communication systems (see [Ko, Mo, Ii, Ha, Ma;10]). There are some other codes similar to the integer codes, as for example codes over Gaussian integers ([Hu; 94], [Gh, Fr; 10], [Ne, In,Fa, El, Pa; 01], [Ri; 95]), codes over Eisenstein–Jacobi integers ([Ne, In,Fa, El, Pa; 01]), a class of error correcting codes based on a generalized Lee distance ([Ni, Hi; 08]), codes over Hurwitz integers ([Gu; 13]), which are intensively studied in the last time.

QAM is used in many digital data radio communications and data communications applications. The most common errors which appear are those which change a point with its nearest neighbor. The Hamming distance and the Lee distance are not capable to correct these errors in a QAM signal. To improve this situation, in [Hu; 94], Huber constructed codes over Gaussian integers with

a new distance, called Mannheim distance. He proved that these codes are able to correct Mannheim error of weight 1 and used this new distance to find properties of these codes (see (see [Mo, Ha, Ko; 04]) for other details). Nevertheless, in [Ni, Hi; 08] the authors introduced a new distance which generalized the Lee distance and constructed codes capable to correct errors of generalized Lee weight one or two.

In [Gu; 13], the author generalized some results from [Ne, In,Fa, El, Pa; 01] constructing codes over Hurwitz integers.

Due to the structure of the real Octonion algebra, a nonassociative and a noncommutative algebra, in the presented paper, we generalize these results to a special subset of Octonion integers, comparing with the some results obtained until now.

1. Introduction

The octonion division algebra over $\mathbb{R}$, denoted by $\mathbb{O}(\mathbb{R})$, is a nonassociative unital algebra. This algebra is *power-associative* (i. e. the subalgebra $< x >$ of $\mathbb{O}(\mathbb{R})$, generated by any element $x \in \mathbb{O}(\mathbb{R})$, is associative), *flexible* (i. e. $x(yx) = (xy)x = xyx$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{O}(\mathbb{R})$) and has the following properties:

1) $\mathbb{O}(\mathbb{R})$ is a free $\mathbb{R}-$module with the basis $\{1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8\}$.

2) 1 is the unity in $\mathbb{O}(\mathbb{R})$.

3) $e_2^2 = e_3^2 = e_4^2 = e_5^2 = e_6^2 = e_7^2 = e_8^2 = -1$ and $e_i e_j = -e_j e_i = e_k, i \neq j, i, j \in \{2, ..., 8\}$, where $k = i \otimes j$, where $\otimes$ is "x-or" for i, j wrote in the decimal basis (see [Ba; 09]).

If $x = x_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3 + x_4 e_4 + x_5 e_5 + x_6 e_6 + x_7 e_7 + x_8 e_8 \in \mathbb{O}(\mathbb{R})$, then its *conjugate* is the octonion $\overline{x} = x_1 - x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3 + x_4 e_4 + x_5 e_5 + x_6 e_6 + x_7 e_7 + x_8 e_8$ and the *norm* of the octonion x is

$$N(x) = x\overline{x} = \overline{x}x = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + x_5^2 + x_6^2 + x_7^2 + x_8^2.$$

Remark 1.1. The octonionic norm N is multiplicative, therefore for $x, y \in \mathbb{O}(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$N(xy) = N(x) N(y).$$

The *real part* of the octonion x is x_1 and the its *vector part* is $x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3 + x_4 e_4 + x_5 e_5 + x_6 e_6 + x_7 e_7 + x_8 e_8 \in \mathbb{O}(\mathbb{R})$.

In [Co, Sm; 03] p. 55, was described *Hurwitz integers* or *Hurwitz Integral Quaternions*, denoted by $\mathcal{H}$, as elements of the form $q = x_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3 + x_4 e_4$ where x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 are in $\mathbb{Z}$ or in $\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. In the same book, p. 99-105, was defined *Octavian Integers* or *Octonion Integers* to be the set of the elements spanned by $i_{1587}, i_{2457}, i_{2685}, i_{2378}$ over $\mathbb{O}(\mathbb{Z})$, where

$$i_{abcd} = \frac{1}{2}(e_a + e_b + e_c + e_d).$$

We will denote this ring with $\mathcal{O}$. $\mathbb{O}(\mathbb{Z})$ is also called the set of *Gravesian Octonion integers*, the octonions with all coordinates in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $w = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{8} e_i\right) \in \mathcal{O}$, be an integer octonion and let $\mathbb{V} = \{a + bw \ / \ a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. We remark that $N(w) = 2$ and $w^2 - w + 2 = 0$.

Since octonion algebra is a power associative algebra, it results that $\mathbb{V}$ is an associative and a commutative ring, $\mathbb{V} \subset \mathcal{O}$.

Remark 1.2. For $x \in \mathbb{V}$ the following properties are equivalent:
i) x is invertible in the algebra $\mathbb{V}$.
ii) $N(x) = 1$.
iii) $x \in \{\pm 1, \pm e_2 \pm e_3, \pm e_4, \pm e_5, \pm e_6, \pm e_7, \pm e_8\}$.

Definition 1.3. The octonion $x \in \mathbb{V}$ is *prime* in $\mathbb{V}$ if x is not an invertible element in $\mathbb{V}$ and if $x = ab$, then a or b is an invertible element in $\mathbb{V}$.

Proposition 1.4.
i) If $x, y \in \mathbb{V}$, then there are $z, v \in \mathbb{V}$ such that $x = zy + v$, with $N(v) < N(y)$.
ii) With the above notation, we have that the remainder v has the formula

$$v = x - \left[\frac{x\overline{y}}{y\overline{y}}\right] y, \tag{1.1}$$

where the symbol $[\ ,]$ is the rounding to the closest integer. For the octonions, the rounding of an octonion integer can be found by rounding the coefficients of the basis, separately, to the closest integer.

Proof. i) See [Co, Sm; 03], Theorem 2, p. 109, [Da, Sa, Va; 03] Proposition 2.6.2, p. 60 and Lemma 2.6.3., p.61.

ii) From the above, we have $v = x - zy$ with $w = x\overline{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{8} w_i e_i, w_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $w_i = az_i + r_i$, $0 \leq r_i < a, i \in \{1, ..., 8\}$. Therefore, $z_i = \frac{w_i}{a} - \frac{r_i}{a} = \frac{w_i}{y\overline{y}} - \frac{r_i}{y\overline{y}} = \frac{(x\overline{y})_i}{y\overline{y}} - \frac{r_i}{y\overline{y}}$. Rounding to the closest integer, we have $\left[\frac{r_i}{y\overline{y}}\right] = 0$, since $r_i < a$.□

Remark 1.5. Let $x = a + bw \in \mathbb{V}$. We have that
$N(x) = x\overline{x} = (a + bw)(a + b\overline{w}) = a^2 + ab + 2b^2 = \left(a + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 + 7\frac{b^2}{4} = A^2 + 7B^2$.

Proposition 1.6. [Co; 89],[Sa; 14] *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime number. There are integers a, b such that $p = a^2 + ab + 2b^2$ if $p = 7k + 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*□

Definition 1.7. With the above notations, let $\pi = x + yw$ be a prime integer in $\mathbb{V}$ and v_1, v_2 be two elements in $\mathbb{V}$. If there is $v \in \mathbb{V}$, such that $v_1 - v_2 = v\pi$, then v_1, v_2 are called *congruent modulo* π and it is denoted $v_1 \equiv v_2 \ mod \ \pi$.

Proposition 1.8.
i) The above relation is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{V}$. The set of equivalence class is denoted by $\mathbb{V}_\pi$ and is called the residue class of $\mathbb{V}$ modulo π.

ii) The modulo function $\mu : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}_\pi$ *is* $\mu(x) = v \bmod \pi = x - \left[\frac{x\overline{y}}{y\overline{y}}\right] y$, where $x = z\pi + v$, *with* $N(\pi) < N(y)$.

iii) $\mathbb{V}_\pi$ *is a field isomorphic with* $\mathbb{Z}_p, p = N(\pi), p$ *a prime number.*

Proof. i) If $v_1 \equiv v_2 \ mod\ \pi$ and $v_2 \equiv v_3 \ mod\ \pi$ then there are $v, v' \in \mathbb{V}$ such that $v_1 - v_2 = v\pi$ and $v_2 - v_3 = v'\pi$. It results $v_1 - v_3 = (v + v')\pi$,therefore the transitivity. We will denote with bold the elements from $\mathbb{V}_\pi$.

ii) We define $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 = (v_1 + v_2) mod\ \pi$ and $\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 = (v_1 v_2) mod\ \pi$. These multiplications are well defined. Indeed, if $v_1 \equiv v_1' \ mod\ \pi$ and $v_2 \equiv v_2' \ mod\ \pi$, it results $v_1 - v_1' = u\pi, v_2 - v_2' = u'\pi, u, u' \in \mathbb{V}$, therefore $(v_1 + v_2) - (v_1' + v_2') = (u + u')\pi$. From Proposition 1.4 and since $v_1 = v_1' + u\pi$, $v_2 = v_2' + u'\pi$, it results $v_1 v_2 = v_1' v_2' + M_\pi$, with M_π a multiple of π.

Let f be the map

$$f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{V}_\pi,\ f(\mathbf{m}) = \mu(m + \pi) = (m + \pi)\ \ mod\ \pi. \tag{1.2}$$

The map f is well defined, since if $m \equiv m' \ mod\ p$ we have $(m + \pi) - (m' + \pi) = m - m' = pq = \pi\overline{\pi}q, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, therefore $(m + \pi) \equiv (m' + \pi)\ mod\ \pi$.

Since $1 = v_1\pi + v_2\overline{\pi}$, if $f(\mathbf{m}) = v, v = (m + \pi)\ \ mod\ \pi \in \mathbb{V}_\pi$, we define $f^{-1}(v) = m(v_1\pi) + \overline{m}(v_2\overline{\pi})$. Indeed, for $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, it results $\mathbf{m} = M\pi + m$ and $\mathbf{m} = \overline{\mathbf{m}} = \overline{M}\overline{\pi} + \overline{m}$, therefore $m(v_1\pi) + \overline{m}(v_2\overline{\pi}) = (\mathbf{m} - M\pi)(v_1\pi) + \left(\overline{\mathbf{m}} - \overline{M}\overline{\pi}\right)(v_2\overline{\pi}) = \mathbf{m}(v_1\pi + v_2\overline{\pi}) \bmod \pi = \mathbf{m} \bmod \pi$.

The map f is a ring morphism. Indeed, $f(\mathbf{m}) + f(\mathbf{m}') = (m + \pi) mod\ \pi + (m' + \pi) mod\ \pi = (m + m' + \pi) mod\ \pi = f(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{m}')$ and $f(\mathbf{m}) f(\mathbf{m}') = (m + \pi)(m' + \pi) mod \pi =$
$= \left(mm' + (m + m')\pi + \pi^2\right) mod\ \pi = (mm' + \pi) mod\ \pi$.We obtain that $\mathbb{V}_\pi$ is isomorphic with $\mathbb{Z}_p$.□

Remark 1.9. 1) The field $\mathbb{V}_\pi$ has the property that if $x, y \in \mathbb{V}_\pi$, then there are $z, v \in \mathbb{V}_\pi$ such that $x = zy + v$, with $N(v) < N(y)$ and $\mathbb{V}_\pi$ has $N(\pi) = p$ elements.

2) If $\pi = a + bw \in \mathbb{V}$, then in $\mathbb{V}_\pi$ two conjugate elements are not in the same coset. Indeed, if $x = m + nw \in \mathbb{V}_\pi, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, is in the same coset as $\overline{x} = m - nw$, therefore $\pi \ / \ (x - \overline{x})$, then $\pi \ / \ 2nw$. We have $2nw = \pi q, q \in \mathbb{V}$, then $N(\pi) \ / \ n$, false, since $N(x) = (m + \frac{n}{2})^2 + 7\frac{n^2}{4} > N(\pi)^2 > N(\pi)$.

Remark 1.10.

1) $\mathbb{O}(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$ has $N^4(\pi)$ elements. ([Ma, Be, Ga; 09]).

2) From Proposition 1.8 and from Remark 1.9, we have that for $v_i, v_j \in \mathbb{V}_\pi, i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., p - 1\}$, $v_i + v_j = v_k$ if and only if $k = i + j \ mod\ p$ and $v_i \cdot v_j = v_k$ if and only if $k = i \cdot j \ mod\ p$. From here, with the above notations, we have the following labelling procedure:

1) Let $\pi \in \mathbb{V}$ be a prime, with $N(\pi) = p, p$ a prime number, $\pi = a + bw, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2) Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the only solution of the equation $a + bx \ mod \ p$, $x \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., p-1\}$.

3) The element $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is the label of the element $v = m + nw \in \mathbb{V}$ if $m + ns = k \ mod \ p$ and $N(v)$ is minimum.

The above Remark generalizes to octonions Theorem 1 and Labeling procedure from [Ne, In,Fa, El, Pa; 01].

Example 1.11. Using notations from Proposition 1.4, let $p = 29$ and $\pi = -1 + 4w$, with $N(\pi) = 29$. We compute the field $\mathbb{V}_\pi$. From the above, we have $\mathbb{U}_\pi = \{(m + \pi) \ mod \ \pi \ / \ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$. It results:
$\mathbb{V}_\pi = \{0, 1, 2, 3, -3 - w, -2 - w, -1 - w, -w, 1 - w, 2 - w,$
$3 - w, 4 - w, -2w - 2, 2w - 2, -2w, -2w + 1, -2w + 2,$
$2 + 2w, w - 4, w - 3, w - 2, w - 1, w, 1 + w, 2 + w, 3 + w, -3, -2, -1\}$. Using the above labeling procedure, we have
$f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1, f(2) = 2, f(3) = 3, \ f(4) = -3 - w,$
$f(5) = -2 - w, f(6) = -1 - w, f(7) = -w, f(8) = 1 - w,$
$f(9) = 2 - w, f(10) = 3 - w, f(11) = 4 - w, f(12) = -2w - 2,$
$f(13) = 2w - 2, f(14) = -2w, f(15) = -2w + 1, f(16) = -2w + 2,$
$f(17) = 2 + 2w, f(18) = -4 + w, f(19) = -3 + w, f(20) = -2 + w,$
$f(21) = -1 + w, f(22) = w, f(23) = 1 + w, f(24) = 2 + w,$
$f(25) = 3 + w, f(26) = -3, f(27) = -2, f(28) = -1.$

2. Codes over $\mathbb{V}_\pi$

Generalizing the Hurwitz weight from [Gu; 13], we define the *Cyley-Dickson weight*, denoted d_C. Let π be a prime in $\mathbb{V}$, $\pi = a + bw$. Let $x \in \mathbb{V}$, $x = a_0 + b_0 w$. The *Cyley-Dickson weight* of x is defined as $w_C(x) = |a_0| + |b_0|$,where $x = a_0 + b_0 w \ mod \ \pi$, with $|a_0| + |b_0|$ minimum.

The *Cyley-Dickson distance* between $x, y \in \mathbb{V}_\pi$ is defined as

$$d_C(x, y) = w_C(x - y).$$

We will prove that d_C is a metric. Indeed, for x, y, z three elements in $\mathbb{V}_\pi$, we have $d_C(x, y) = w_C(\alpha_1) = |a_1| + |b_1|$, where $\alpha_1 = x - y = a_1 + b_1 \ mod \ \pi$ is an element in $\mathbb{V}_\pi$ and $|a_1| + |b_1|$ is minimum.
$d_C(y, z) = w_C(\alpha_2) = |a_2| + |b_2|$,where $\alpha_2 = x - y = a_2 + b_2 \ mod \ \pi$ is an element in $\mathbb{V}_\pi$ and $|a_2| + |b_2|$ is minimum.
$d_C(x, z) = w_C(\alpha_3) = |a_3| + |b_3|$,where $\alpha_3 = x - y = a_3 + b_3 \ mod \ \pi$ is an element in $\mathbb{V}_\pi$ and $|a_3| + |b_3|$ is minimum.
We have $x - y = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \ mod \ \pi$. It results $w_C(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) \geq w_C(\alpha_1)$ since $w_C(\alpha_1) = |a_1| + |b_1|$ is minimum.

Remark 2.1. The maximum Cayley-Dickson distance $d_{C_{\max}}$ between any two elements from $\mathbb{V}_\pi$ has the property that $d_{C_{\max}} \leq |a| + |b|$, with $\pi = a + bw$.

Remark 2.2. Since the Octonion algebra is alternative, due to Artin's Theorem (see [Sc; 66]), each two nonzero different elements generate an associative algebra. From here, for $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$ we have that $x^m (x^n y) = x^{m+n} y$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In the following, we will consider π a prime in $\mathbb{V}$ of the norm $N(\pi) = \pi\overline{\pi} = p, p = 7l + 1, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let α_1, α_2 be two primitive elements (of order $p-1$) in $\mathbb{V}_\pi$, such that $\alpha_1^{\frac{p-1}{7}} = w, \alpha_2^{\frac{p-1}{7}} = -w$. Let $\alpha \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. We will consider codes of length $n = \frac{p-1}{7}$.

Let C be the code defined by the parity-check matrix H,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & ... & \alpha^{n-1} \\ 1 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^{16} & ... & \alpha^{8(n-1)} \\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ... \\ 1 & \alpha^{7k+1} & \alpha^{2(7k+1)} & ... & \alpha^{(7k+1)(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.1}$$

with $k < n$. We know that c is a codeword in C if and only if $Hc^T = 0$. From here, if we consider the associate code polynomial $c(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i x^i$, we have that $c\left(\alpha^{7l+1}\right) = 0, l \in \{0, 1, ..., k\}$. We consider the polynomial $g(x) = (x - \alpha)\left(x - \alpha^8\right) ... \left(x - \alpha^{7k+1}\right)$. Since the elements $\alpha, \alpha^8, ..., \alpha^{7k+1}$ are distinct, from [Li, Xi; 04], Lemma 8.1.6, we have that $c(x)$ is divisible by the generator polynomial $g(x)$. Since $g(x) \ / \ (x^n \pm w)$, therefore $g(x)$ is the generator polynomial for the code C. It results that C is a principal ideal in the ring $\mathbb{V}_\pi \ / \ ((x^n \pm w))$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let C be the code defined on $\mathbb{V}_\pi$ by the parity check matrix*

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & ... & \alpha^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

The code C is able to correct all errors pattern of the form $e(x) = e_t x^t$, with $0 \leq w_C(e_t) \leq 1$ and any errors pattern of the form $e(x) = e_t x^t$, with $w_C(e_t) = 3, e_t = \pm w^2$.

Proof. Let $r(x) = c(x) + e(x)$ be the received polynomial, with $c(x)$ the codeword polynomial and $e(x) = e_t x^t$ denotes the error polynomial with $0 \leq w_C(e_t) \leq 1$. Since $\alpha^n = w$, or $\alpha^n = -w$ and $w^2 = w - 2, d_C\left(w^2\right) = 3$, it results $e_t = \alpha^{nl}$. We have the syndrome $s_1 = \alpha^{t+nl} = \alpha^L$, with $t, L \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq t, L \leq n-1$. If we reduce L modulo n, we obtain t, the location of the error, and from here, $l = \frac{L-t}{n}$, the value of the error.□

Example 2.4. With the above notation, let $\pi = 7 + 2w, p = 71, n = 10, \alpha = 2 - 2w, w = \alpha^{10}$ and the parity check matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^4 & \alpha^5 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^7 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Supposing that the received vector is $r=(w,1,w-1,1,1,0,0,0,1,1)$. Using MAPLE software, we compute the syndrome. It results $S=Hr^t=-5-2w=\alpha^{14}\ mod\ \pi$. We get $L=14$, therefore the location of the error is $l=L\ mod\ 14=4\ mod\ 10$. The value is $w=\alpha^{14-4}=\alpha^{10}\ mod\ \pi$. Therefore the corrected vector is
c=$r-(0,0,0,0,w,0,0,0,0,0)$=$(w,1,w-1,1,1-w,0,0,0,1,1)\ mod\ \pi$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let C be a code defined by the parity-check matrix*

$$H=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & ... & \alpha^{n-1} \\ 1 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^{16} & ... & \alpha^{8(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

Then C can corrects any error pattern of the form $e(x)=e_ix^i$, $0\leq i\leq n-1$, with $e_i\in\mathbb{V}_\pi$.

Proof. Let $r(x)=c(x)+e(x)$ be the received polynomial, with $c(x)$ the codeword polynomial and $e(x)=e_ix^i$ denotes the error polynomial with $e_i\in\mathbb{V}_\pi$. Then the corresponding vector of the polynomial $r(x)$ is $r=c+e$ and we will compute the syndrome S of r. We have $e_i=\alpha^q, 0\leq q\leq 7n-1$. Therefore the syndrome is

$$S$$=$$Hr^t$$=\begin{pmatrix} s_1=\alpha^{i+q}=\alpha^{M_1} \\ s_8=\alpha^{8i+q}=\alpha^{M_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain $a^{i+q-M_1}=1$, with $i+q=M_1\ mod(p-1)$ and $\alpha^{8i+q-M_2}=1$, with $8i+q=M_2\ mod(p-1)$. We get $7i=(M_2-M_1)\ mod(p-1)$, therefore the unique solution of the system is $i=\frac{M_2-M_1}{7}\ mod\ n$ and $q=(M_1-i)\ mod(p-1)$. In this way, we found the location and the value of the error.□

Example 2.6. Let $\pi=-1+4w, p=29, n=4, \alpha=1-w, -w=\alpha^4\ mod\ \pi$, and the parity check matrix

$$H=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 \\ 1 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^{16} & \alpha^{24} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Supposing that the received vector is $r=\left(\alpha,\alpha^2,1,\alpha^3\right)=(1-w,2-2w,1,-3+w)$ .Using MAPLE software, we compute the syndrome. It results

$$S=Hr^t=\begin{pmatrix} s_1=\alpha^7 \\ s_8=\alpha^7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The location of the error is $i=\frac{7-7}{7}=0\ mod\ 4$ and the value of the error is $\alpha^{7-0}=\alpha^7=17=(2+2w)\ mod\ \pi$. Therefore the corrected vector is
$c=r-(2+2w,0,0,0)=(-1-3w,2-2w,1,-3+w) mod\ \pi=$
$=(w-2,2-2w,1,-3+w)$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let C be a code defined by the parity-check matrix*

$$H=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & ... & \alpha^{n-1} \\ 1 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^{16} & ... & \alpha^{8(n-1)} \\ 1 & \alpha^{15} & \alpha^{30} & ... & \alpha^{15(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then C can find location and/or can correct some errors pattern of the form $e(x) = e_i x^i$, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, with $e_i \in \mathbb{V}_\pi$.

Proof. Using notations from the above Theorem, we have $e_i = \alpha^q, 0 \leq q \leq 7n-1$. Therefore the syndrome is:

$$S{=}Hr^t{=}\begin{pmatrix} s_1 = \alpha^{i+q} = \alpha^{M_1} \\ s_8 = \alpha^{8i+q} = \alpha^{M_2} \\ s_{15} = \alpha^{15i+q} = \alpha^{M_3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the rank of the matrix (2.4) is 3, then this system has always solution. We obtain $a^{i+q-M_1} = 1$, with $i+q = M_1 \ mod(p-1)$, $\alpha^{8i+q-M_2} = 1$, with $8i+q = M_2 \ mod(p-1)$, $\alpha^{15i+q-M_3} = 1$, with $15i+q = M_3 \ mod(p-1)$. We can find the location of the error if $7i = (M_2 - M_1) \ mod(p-1)$, $7i = (M_3 - M_2) \ mod(p-1)$, or, equivalently,
$i = \frac{M_2-M_1}{7} \ mod \ n = \frac{M_3-M_2}{7} \ mod \ n$ and the value of the error q if
$(M_1 - i) \ mod(p-1) = (M_2 - 8i) \ mod(p-1) = (M_3 - 15i) \ mod(p-1)(= q)$. □

Example 2.8.

1) Let $\pi = -1+4w, p = 29, n = 4, \alpha = 1-w, -w = \alpha^4 \ mod \ \pi$, and the parity check matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 \\ 1 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^{16} & \alpha^{24} \\ 1 & \alpha^{15} & \alpha^{30} & \alpha^{45} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Supposing that the received vector is $r = (1-w, 2-2w, 1, -3+w)$. Using MAPLE software, we compute the syndrome. It results

$$S = Hr^t = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 = \alpha^7 = \alpha^{i+q} \\ s_8 = \alpha^7 = \alpha^{8i+q} \\ s_{15} = \alpha^{27} = \alpha^{15i+q} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The location of the error is $i = \frac{7-7}{7} = \frac{27-7}{7} = 0 \ mod \ 4$. We can't find the value of the error since $\alpha^{7-0} = \alpha^7 = 17 = (2+2w) \ mod \ \pi$ is different from $\alpha^{27-0} = \alpha^{27} = 11 = (4-w) \ mod \ \pi$.

2) In the same conditions, supposing that the received vector is
$r = \left(1, \alpha^3, 1, \alpha^2\right) = (1, -3+w, 1, -1-w)$. Again, using MAPLE, the syndrome is

$$S = Hr^t = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 = \alpha^{21} = \alpha^{i+q} \\ s_8 = \alpha^{11} = \alpha^{8i+q} \\ s_{15} = \alpha^{18} = \alpha^{15i+q} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can't find the location and the value of the error, since $2 = \frac{11-21}{7} \ mod \ 4 \neq \frac{18-11}{7} \ mod \ \pi = 1$.

3) If we suppose that the received vector is $r = (5,0,0,0) = (-2-w, 0, 0, 0)$, the syndrome is

$$S = Hr^t = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 = \alpha^{26} \\ s_8 = \alpha^{26} \\ s_{15} = \alpha^{26} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The location of the error is 0 and the value of the error is 5. Therefore the corrected vector is $(0,0,0,0)$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let C be a code defined by the parity-check matrix*

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & ... & \alpha^{n-1} \\ 1 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^{16} & ... & \alpha^{8(n-1)} \\ 1 & \alpha^{15} & \alpha^{30} & ... & \alpha^{15(n-1)} \\ 1 & \alpha^{22} & \alpha^{44} & ... & \alpha^{22(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

Then C can correct some errors pattern of the form $e(x) = e_i x^i + e_j x^j$, $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$, with $e_i, e_j \in \mathbb{V}_\pi$.

Proof. We will prove the general case, when we have two errors. We have $e_i = \alpha^q \neq 0$ and $e_j = \alpha^t \neq 0, q, t \in \mathbb{Z}$. We obtain the syndrome:

$$S{=}Hr^t{=} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 = \alpha^{i+q} + \alpha^{j+t} \\ s_8 = \alpha^{8i+q} + \alpha^{8j+t} \\ s_{15} = \alpha^{15i+q} + \alpha^{15j+t} \\ s_{22} = \alpha^{22i+q} + \alpha^{22j+t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denoting $\alpha^{i+q} = A$ and $\alpha^{j+t} = B$, it results

$$S{=}Hr^t{=} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 = A + B \\ s_8 = \alpha^{8i} A + \alpha^{8j} B \\ s_{15} = \alpha^{15i} A + \alpha^{15j} B \\ s_{22} = \alpha^{22i} A + \alpha^{22j} B \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.6}$$

If the system (2.6) admits only one solution, then the code C can correct two errors. First, we will prove the following Lemma.

Lemma. *With the above notations, if we have two errors, we obtain $\alpha^{7i} \neq \alpha^{7j}, 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ and $s_1 s_{15} \neq s_8^2$.*

Proof. If $\alpha^{7i} = \alpha^{7j}$,then $\alpha^{7(i-j)} = 1$ and $7n \ / \ 7(i-j)$, false. Supposing that $s_1 s_{15} - s_8^2 = 0$, we have $s_1 s_{15} = s_8^2$. For $x = \alpha^{i+q}$, it results $\alpha^{14i} s_1 x + \alpha^{14j} s_1^2 - \alpha^{14j} s_1 x = \left(\alpha^{7i} - \alpha^{7j}\right)^2 x^2 + \alpha^{14j} s_1^2 + 2\alpha^{7j}\left(\alpha^{7i} - \alpha^{7j}\right) s_1 x$. We get $\left(\alpha^{7i} - \alpha^{7j}\right)^2 x^2 + 2\alpha^{7i+7j} s_1 x - \alpha^{14i} s_1 x - \alpha^{14j} s_1 x = 0$. From here, $x = 0$ or $x = \frac{-2\alpha^{7i+7j} s_1 + \alpha^{14i} s_1 + \alpha^{14j} s_1}{(\alpha^{7i} - \alpha^{7j})^2} = s_1$. If $x = \alpha^{i+q} = 0$, false. If $x = \alpha^{i+q} = s_1$ implies $\alpha^{j+t} = 0$,false.

Now, we return to the proof of the Theorem and we are under conditions $\alpha^{7i} \neq \alpha^{7j}, 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ and $s_1 s_{15} \neq s_8^2$. For $B = s_1 - A$, it results:

$A\left(\alpha^{7i} - \alpha^{7j}\right) = s_8 - s_1 \alpha^{7j}$
$A\left(\alpha^{14i} - \alpha^{14j}\right) = s_{15} - s_1 \alpha^{14j}$
$A\left(\alpha^{21i} - \alpha^{21j}\right) = s_{22} - s_1 \alpha^{21j}$. We obtain:

$$s_{15} - s_1 \alpha^{14j} = \left(s_8 - s_1 \alpha^{7j}\right)\left(\alpha^{7i} + \alpha^{7j}\right)$$

and

$$s_{22} - s_1\alpha^{21j} = \left(s_8 - s_1\alpha^{7j}\right)\left(\alpha^{14i} + \alpha^{7i}\alpha^{7j} + \alpha^{14j}\right).$$

Denoting $\alpha^{7i} + \alpha^{7j} = s_7$ and $\alpha^{7i}\alpha^{7j} = p_7$, we have

$$s_{15} - s_8 s_7 + p_7 s_1 = 0$$

and

$$\left(s_8 - s_1\alpha^{7j}\right)\left(s_7^2 - p_7\right) = s_{22} - s_1\alpha^{21j}.$$

It results

$$p_7 = \frac{s_8 s_7 - s_{15}}{s_1}$$

and

$$s_7(s_1 s_{15} - s_8^2) = s_1 s_{22} - s_8 s_{15}.$$

We obtain

$$s_7 = \frac{s_1 s_{22} - s_8 s_{15}}{s_1 s_{15} - s_8^2}$$

and for p_7 we get

$$p_7 = \frac{s_8 s_{22} - s_{15}^2}{s_1 s_{15} - s_8^2}.$$

From here, solving the equation $x^2 - s_7 x + p_7 = 0$, we will find the locations and the values of the errors. □

Example 2.10.

1) Let $\pi = -1 + 4w, p = 29, n = 4, \alpha = 1 - w, -w = \alpha^4 \ mod\ \pi$, and the parity check matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 \\ 1 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^{16} & \alpha^{24} \\ 1 & \alpha^{15} & \alpha^{30} & \alpha^{45} \\ 1 & \alpha^{22} & \alpha^{44} & \alpha^{66} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Supposing that that the received vector is
$r = \left(1, \alpha^3, 1, \alpha^2\right) = (1, -3 + w, 1, -1 - w)$. Using again MAPLE, the syndrome is

$$S{=}Hr^t{=} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 = \alpha^{21} \\ s_8 = \alpha^{11} \\ s_{15} = \alpha^{18} \\ s_{22} = \alpha^{20} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain

$$s_7 = \frac{s_1 s_{22} - s_8 s_{15}}{s_1 s_{15} - s_8^2} = (2 + w)\ mod\ \pi$$

and

$$p_7 = \frac{s_8 s_{22} - s_{15}^2}{s_1 s_{15} - s_8^2} = \ 1 \ mod \ \pi \ .$$

Equation $x^2 - (2+w)x + 1 = 0$ has no roots in $\mathbb{V}_\pi$, therefore we can't find the locations and the values of the errors.

2) If the received vector is $r = (5, 0, 1, 0) = (-2 - w, 0, 1, 0)$, the syndrome is

$$S{=}Hr^t{=}\begin{pmatrix} s_1 = \alpha^{27} = 11 \\ s_8 = \alpha^{14} = 28 \\ s_{15} = \alpha^{27} = 11 \\ s_{22} = \alpha^{14} = 28 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We get $s_7 = 0$ and $p_7 = -1$ and $\alpha^{7i} = 1, \alpha^{7j} = 28 \bmod \pi$. It results $\alpha^i = 1, \alpha^j = 4 = \alpha^{10}$, then $i = 0$ and $j = 10 \bmod 4 = 2$. The errors are on the positions 0 and 2. The corrected vector are $c = (4, 0-3, 0) = (-3 - w, 0, -3, 0)$.

Remark 2.11. Above Theorems generalized to octonions Theorems 7,8,9,10,11,13,14,15 from [Ne, In,Fa, El, Pa; 01] and Theorems 4,5,6,7 from [Gu; 13].

Remark 2.12. In this situation, when $p = 7k + 1, k = 6l, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, and when the considered alphabets have the same cardinality, the code rate of the codes defined on $\mathbb{V}_\pi$ is grater than the codes defined in [Gu; 13] on $\mathcal{R}_\pi$, but smaller than the codes defined on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$. Here $\mathcal{H}$ is the set of all Hurwitz integers, $\mathcal{R} = \{a + bw, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}, w = \frac{1}{2}(1 + i + j + k)$, with $\{1, i, j, k\}$ a basis in the Quaternion algebra and $\mathcal{R}_\pi$, $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ is the quotient rings modulo π, π a prime quaternion. If C_1 is a code over $\mathcal{R}_\pi$ of length $n_1 = \frac{p-1}{6}$, C_2 a code over $\mathbb{V}_\pi$ of length $n_2 = \frac{p-1}{28}$, with $N(\pi) = p$. If C_1, C_2 have the same dimension k, we obtain that the rate R_{C_2} of the code C_2 is always greater than the rate R_{C_1} of the code C_1. Indeed, $R_{C_2} = \frac{7k}{p-1}$ and $R_{C1} = \frac{6k}{p-1}$.

Conclusion. In this paper we defined codes over a subset of Octonion Integer and we gave decoding algorithms for these codes. Moreover, comparing these codes with the codes defined on Hurwitz integers, we remark that the code rate in the first case is better.

References

[Ba; 09] J. W. Bales, *A Tree for Computing the Cayley-Dickson Twist*, Missouri J. Math. Sci., **21(2)(2009)**, 83–93.

[Co, Sm; 03] J.H. Conway, D.A. Smith, *On Quaternions and Octonions*, A.K. Peters, Natick, Massachusetts, 2003.

[Co; 89] D. Cox, *Primes of the Form $x^2 + ny^2$: Fermat, Class Field Theory and Complex Multiplication*, A Wiley - Interscience Publication, New York, 1989.

[Da, Sa, Va; 03] G. Davidoff, P. Sarnak, A. Valette, *Elementary Number Theory, Group Theory, and Ramanujan Graphs*, Cambridge University Pres, 2003.

[Gh, Fr; 10] F. Ghaboussi, J. Freudenberger, *Codes over Gaussian integer rings*, 18th Telecommunications forum TELFOR 2010, 662-665.

[Sa, Na, Re, Sa; 13] M. González Sarabia, J. Nava Lara, C. Rentería Márquez, E. Sarmiento Rosales, *Parameterized Codes over Cycles,* An. Şt. Univ. Ovidius Constanţa, **21(3)(2013)**, 241-255.

[Gu; 13] M. Güzeltepe, *Codes over Hurwitz integers*, Discrete Math, **313(5)(2013)**, 704-714.

[Hu; 94] K. Huber, *Codes over Gaussian integers*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **40(1994),** 207–216.

[Ko, Mo, Ii, Ha, Ma;10] H. Kostadinov, H. Morita, N. Iijima, A. J. Han Vinck, N. Manev, S*oft Decoding Of Integer Codes and Their Application to Coded Modulation*, IEICE Trans. Fundamentals, **E39A(7)(2010)**, 1363-1370.

[Li, Xi; 04] S. Ling, C. Xing, *Coding Theory A First Course*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[Ma, Be, Ga; 09] C. Martinez, R. Beivide, E. Gabidulin, *Perfect codes from Cayley graphs over Lipschitz integers*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **55(8)(2009),** 3552–3562.

[Mo, Ha, Ko; 04] H. Morita, A. J. Han Vinck, H. Kostadinov, *On Soft Decoding of Coded QAM Using Integer Codes*, International Symposium on Information Theory and its Applications, ISITA2004, Parma, Italy, 1321-1325.

[Ne, In,Fa, El, Pa; 01] T.P. da N. Neto, J.C. Interlando, M.O. Favareto, M. Elia, R. Palazzo Jr., *Lattice constellation and codes from quadratic number fields*, IEEE Trans. Inform.Theory **47(4)(2001)** 1514–1527.

[Ni, Hi; 08] S. Nishimura, T. Hiramatsu, *A generalization of the Lee distance and error correcting codes*, Discrete Appl Math, **156(2008)**, 588 – 595.

[Ri; 95] J. Rifà, *Groups of Complex Integer Used as QAM Signals*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, **41(5)(1995)**, 1512-1517.

[Sa; 14] D. Savin, *Some central simple algebras which split*, will appear in An. Şt. Univ. Ovidius Constanţa.

[Sc; 66] R.D. Schafer, *An Introduction to Nonassociative Algebras*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.

Cristina FLAUT
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,

Ovidius University,
Bd. Mamaia 124, 900527, CONSTANTA,
ROMANIA
http://cristinaflaut.wikispaces.com/
http://www.univ-ovidius.ro/math/
e-mail:
cflaut@univ-ovidius.ro
cristina_flaut@yahoo.com